BOUNDEDNESS OF COHOMOLOGY

MARKUS BRODMANN, MARYAM JAHANGIRI, AND CAO HUY LINH

Abstract. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathcal{D}^d$ denote the class of all pairs (R, M) in which $R = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} R_n$ is a Noetherian homogeneous ring with Artinian base ring R_0 and such that M is a finitely generated graded R-module of dimension $\leq d$.

The cohomology table of a pair $(R, M) \in \mathcal{D}^d$ is defined as the family of non-negative integers $d_M := (d^i_M(n))_{(i,n)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{Z}}$. We say that a subclass $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{D}^d$ is of finite cohomology if the set $\{d_M \mid (R, M) \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is finite. A set $\mathbb{S} \subseteq \{0, \cdots, d-1\}\times\mathbb{Z}$ is said to bound cohomology, if for each family $(h^\sigma)_{\sigma\in\mathbb{S}}$ of non-negative integers, the class $\{(R, M) \in \mathcal{D}^d \mid d^i_M(n) \leq h^{(i,n)}$ for all $(i, n) \in \mathbb{S}\}$ is of finite cohomology. Our main result says that this is the case if and only if $\mathbb{S}$ contains a quasi diagonal, that is a set of the form $\{(i, n_i)| \ i = 0, \cdots, d-1\}$ with integers $n_0 > n_1 > \cdots > n_{d-1}$.

We draw a number of conclusions of this boundedness criterion.

1. Introduction

This paper continues our investigation [6], which was driven by the question *"What bounds cohomology of a projective scheme?"*

A considerable number of contributions has been given to this theme, mainly under the aspect of bounding some cohomological invariants in term of other invariants (see [1], [2], [3], [4], [7], [8], [9], [11], [12], [13], [15], [16], [17], [18], [19], [21], [22] for example).

Our aim is to start from a different point of view, focussing on the notion of cohomological pattern (s. [5]). So, our main result characterizes those sets $\mathbb{S} \subseteq \{0, \cdots, d-1\} \times \mathbb{Z}$ "which bound cohomology of projective schemes of dimension $< d$".

To make this precise, fix a positive integer d and let $\mathcal{D}^d$ be the class of all pairs (R, M) in which $R = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} R_n$ is a Noetherian homogeneous ring with Artinian base ring R_0 and M is a finitely generated graded R-module with $\dim(M) \leq d$. In this situation let $R_+ = \bigoplus_{n>0} R_n$ denote the irrelevant ideal of R.

For each $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ consider the graded R-module $D^i_{R_+}(M)$, where $D^i_{R_+}$ denotes the i-th right derived functor of the R_+-transform functor $D_{R_+}(\bullet) := \lim\limits_{n\longrightarrow\infty} \mathrm{Hom}_R((R_+)^n, \bullet)$. In addition, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $d^i_M(n)$ denote the (finite) R_0-length of the n-th graded component $D^i_{R_+}(M)_n$ of $D^i_{R_+}(M)$.

May 10, 2009.

Finally, for $(R, M) \in \mathcal{D}^d$ let us consider the so called cohomology table of (R, M), that is the family of non negative integers

$$d_M := (d^i_M(n))_{(i,n)\in\mathbb{N}_0\times\mathbb{Z}}.$$

A subclass $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ is said to be of finite cohomology if the set $\{d_M \mid (R, M) \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is finite. The class $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be of bounded cohomology if the set $\{d^i_M(n) \mid (R, M) \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is finite for all pairs $(i, n) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}$. It turns out that these two conditions are booth equivalent to the condition that the class $\mathcal{C}$ is of finite cohomology "along some diagonal", e.g. there is some $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the set $\triangle_{\mathcal{C},n_0} := \{d^i_M(n_0 - i) \mid (R, M) \in \mathcal{C}, 0 \le i < d\}$ is finite (s. Theorem 3.5).

So, if one bounds the values of $d^i_M(n)$ along a "diagonal subset"

$$\{(j, n_0 - j) \mid j = 0, \cdots, d-1\} \subseteq \{0, \cdots, d-1\} \times \mathbb{Z}$$

for an arbitrary integer n_0 one cuts out a subclass $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ of finite cohomology. Motivated by this observation we say that the subset $\mathbb{S} \subseteq \{0, \cdots, d-1\} \times \mathbb{Z}$ bounds cohomology in the class $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ if for each family $(h^\sigma)_{\sigma\in\mathbb{S}}$ of non-negative integers $h^\sigma \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the class

$$\{(R, M) \in \mathcal{C} \mid \forall (i, n) \in \mathbb{S} : d^i_M(n) \le h^{(i,n)}\}$$

is of finite cohomology. Now, we may reformulate our previous result by saying that for arbitrary n_0 the diagonal set $\{(j, n_0 - j) \mid j = 0, \cdots, d-1\}$ bounds cohomology in $\mathcal{D}^d$. It seems rather natural to ask, whether one can characterize the shape of those subsets $\mathbb{S} \subseteq \{0, \cdots, d-1\} \times \mathbb{Z}$ which bound cohomology in $\mathcal{D}^d$. This is indeed done by our main result (s. Corollary 4.10):

> *A subset $\mathbb{S} \subseteq \{0, \cdots, d-1\} \times \mathbb{Z}$ bounds cohomology in $\mathcal{D}^d$ if and only if it contains a quasi-diagonal, that is a set of the form $\{(i, n_i) \mid i = 0, \cdots, d-1\}$ with*
>
> $$n_0 > n_1 > \cdots > n_{d-1}.$$

Our next aim is to apply the previous result in order to cut out classes $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ of finite cohomology by fixing some numerical invariants which are defined on the class $\mathcal{C}$. A finite family $(\mu_i)^r_{i=1}$ of numerical invariants μ_i on $\mathcal{C}$ is said to bound cohomology in $\mathcal{C}$ if for all $n_1, \cdots, n_r \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ the class $\{(R, M) \in \mathcal{C} \mid \mu_i(M) = n_i \text{ for } i = 1, \cdots, r\}$ is of finite cohomology.

We define a numerical invariant $\varrho : \mathcal{D}^d \to \mathbb{N}_0$ by setting $\varrho(M) := d^0_M(\mathrm{reg}^2(M))$, where $\mathrm{reg}^2(M)$ denotes the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of M at and above level 2. Then, we show (s. Theorem 5.8):

> *The pair of invariants $(\mathrm{reg}^2, \varrho)$ bounds cohomology in $\mathcal{D}^d$.*

As an application of this we prove (s. Theorem 5.9 and Corollary 5.10)

Fix a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ and an integer r. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ be the class of all pairs (R, M) such that M is a graded submodule of a finitely generated graded R-module N with Hilbert polynomial $p_N = p$ and $\operatorname{reg}^2(N) \leq r$. Then reg^2 bounds cohomology in $\mathcal{C}$.

An immediate consequence of this is (s. Corollary 5.11):

Let $(R, N) \in \mathcal{D}^d$, let $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let M run through all graded submodules $M \subseteq N$ with $\operatorname{reg}^2(M) \leq r$. Then only finitely many cohomology tables d_M occur.

As applications of this, we generalize two finiteness results of Hoa-Hyry [17] for local cohomology modules of graded ideals in a polynomial ring over a field to graded submodules $M \subseteq N$ for a given pair $(R, N) \in \mathcal{D}^d$ (s. Corollaries 5.13 and 5.14).

In order to translate our results to sheaf cohomology of projective schemes observe that for all $(i, n) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}$ and all pairs $(R, M) \in \mathcal{D}^d$ we have $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(n)) \cong D^i_{R_+}(M)_n$, where $X := \operatorname{Proj}(R)$ and $\mathcal{F} := \tilde{M}$ is the coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules induced by M (see [10, chap. 20] for example).

2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall a few basic facts which shall be used later in our paper.

Notation 2.1. Let $R = \oplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$ be a homogeneous Noetherian ring, so that R is positively graded, R_0 is Noetherian and $R = R_0[l_0, \cdots, l_r]$ with finitely many elements $l_0, \cdots, l_r \in R_1$. Let R_+ denote the irrelevant ideal $\oplus_{n>0} R_n$ of R. •

Reminder 2.2. *(Local cohomology and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity)* (A) Let $i \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$. By $H^i_{R_+}(\bullet)$ we denote the i-th local cohomology functor with respect to R_+. Moreover by $D^i_{R_+}(\bullet)$ we denote the i-th right derived functor of the ideal transform functor $D_{R_+}(\bullet) = \lim\limits_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{Hom}_R((R_+)^n, \bullet)$ with respect to R_+.

(B) Let $M := \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n$ be a graded R-module. Keep in mind that in this situation the R-modules $H^i_{R_+}(M)$ and $D^i_{R_+}(M)$ carry natural gradings. Moreover we then have a natural exact sequence of graded R-modules

$$\text{(i)} \qquad 0 \longrightarrow H^0_{R_+}(M) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow D^0_{R_+}(M) \longrightarrow H^1_{R_+}(M) \longrightarrow 0$$

and natural isomorphisms of graded R-modules

$$\text{(ii)} \qquad D^i_{R_+}(M) \cong H^{i+1}_{R_+}(M) \quad \text{for all} \quad i > 0.$$

(C) If T is a graded R-module and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we use T_n to denote the n-th graded component of T. In particular, we define the *beginning* and the *end* of T respectively by

(i) $\text{beg}(T) := \inf\{n \in \mathbb{Z} | T_n \neq 0\}$,

(ii) $\text{end}(T) := \sup\{n \in \mathbb{Z} | T_n \neq 0\}$.

with the standard convention that $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ and $\sup \emptyset = -\infty$.

(D) If the graded R-module M is finitely generated, the R_0-modules $H^i_{R_+}(M)_n$ are all finitely generated and vanish as well for all $n \gg 0$ as for all $i > \dim(M)$. So, we have

$$-\infty \leq a_i(M) := \text{end}(H^i_{R_+}(M)) < \infty \quad \text{for all} \quad i \geq 0$$

with $a_i(M) := -\infty$ for all $i > \dim(M)$.

If $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the *Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of M at and above level k* is defined by

$$\text{reg}^k(M) := \sup\{a_i(M) + i | \ i \geq k\} \ \ (< \infty).$$

The *Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of M* is defined by $\text{reg}(M) := \text{reg}^0(M)$.

(E) We also shall use the *generating degree* of M, which is defined by

$$\text{gendeg}(M) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \ M = \Sigma_{m \leq n} RM_m\}.$$

If the graded R-module M is finitely generated, we have $\text{gendeg}(M) \leq \text{reg}(M)$. •

Reminder 2.3. *(Cohomological Hilbert functions)* (A) Let $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and assume that the base ring R_0 is Artinian. Let M be a finitely generated graded R-module. Then, the graded R-modules $H^i_{R_+}(M)$ are Artinian. In particular for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we may define the non-negative integers

(i) $h^i_M(n) := \text{length}_{R_0}(H^i_{R_+}(M)_n)$,

(ii) $d^i_M(n) := \text{length}_{R_0}(D^i_{R_+}(M)_n)$.

Fix $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then the functions

(iii) $h^i_M : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{N}_0, \quad n \mapsto h^i_M(n)$,

(iv) $d^i_M : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{N}_0, \quad n \mapsto d^i_M(n)$

are called the i-th *Cohomological Hilbert functions* of the *first* respectively the *second kind* of M.

(B) Let M be a finitely generated graded R-module and let $x \in R_1$. We also write $\Gamma_{R_+}(M)$ for the R_+-torsion submodule of M which we identify with $H^0_{R_+}(M)$. By $\text{NZD}_R(M)$ resp. $\text{ZD}_R(M)$ we denote the set of non-zerodivisors resp. of zero divisors of R with respect to M. The linear form $x \in R_1$ is said to be (R_+-) *filter regular with respect to M* if $x \in \text{NZD}_R(M/\Gamma_{R_+}(M))$. •

Reminder 2.4. (cf. [6, Definition 5.2]) For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathcal{D}^d$ denote the class of all pairs (R, M) in which $R = \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} R_n$ is a Noetherian homogenous ring with Artinian base ring R_0 and $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n$ is a finitely generated graded R-module with $\dim(M) \leq d$. •

3. Finiteness and Boundedness of Cohomology

We keep the notations and hypotheses introduced in Section 2.

Definition 3.1. The *cohomology table* of the pair $(R, M) \in \mathcal{D}^d$ is the family of non-negative integers

$$d_M := (d^i_M(n))_{(i,n) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}}.$$

•

Reminder 3.2. (A) According to [5] the *cohomological pattern* $\mathcal{P}_M$ of the pair $(R, M) \in \mathcal{D}^d$ is defined as the set of places at which the cohomology table of (R, M) has a non-zero entry:

$$\mathcal{P}_M := \{(i, n) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z} \,\big|\, d^i_M(n) \neq 0\}.$$

(B) A set $P \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}$ is called a *tame combinatorial pattern of width* $w \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

(π_1) $\exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z} : (0, m), (w, n) \in P;$

(π_2) $(i, n) \in P \Rightarrow i \leq w;$

(π_3) $(i, n) \in P \Rightarrow \exists j \leq i : (j, n + i - j + 1) \in P;$

(π_4) $(i, n) \in P \Rightarrow \exists k \geq i : (k, n + i - k - 1) \in P;$

(π_5) $i > 0 \Rightarrow \forall n \gg 0 : (i, n) \notin P;$

(π_6) $\forall i \in \mathbb{N} : (\forall n \ll 0; (i, n) \in P)$ *or else* $(\forall n \ll 0 : (i, n) \notin P)$.

By [5] we know:

(a) If $(R, M) \in \mathcal{D}^d$ with $\dim(M) = s > 0$, then $\mathcal{P}_M$ is a tame combinatorial pattern of width $w = s - 1$.

(b) If P is a tame combinatorial pattern of width $w \leq d - 1$, then there is a pair $(R, M) \in \mathcal{D}^d$ such that the base ring R_0 is a field and $P = \mathcal{P}_M$. •

By the previous observation, the set of patterns $\{\mathcal{P}_M \,|\, (R, M) \in \mathcal{D}^d\}$ is quite large, and hence so is the set of cohomology tables $\{d_M \,|\, (R, M) \in \mathcal{D}^d\}$. Therefore, one seeks for decompositions $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{I}} \mathcal{C}_i = \mathcal{D}^d$ of $\mathcal{D}^d$ into "simpler" subclasses $\mathcal{C}_i$ such that for each $i \in \mathbb{I}$ the set $\{d_M \,|\, (R, M) \in \mathcal{C}_i\}$ is finite. Bearing in mind this goal, we define the following concepts:

Definitions 3.3. (A) Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ be a subclass. We say that $\mathcal{C}$ *is a subclass of finite cohomology* if

$$\sharp\{d_M \,|\, (R, M) \in \mathcal{C}\} < \infty.$$

(B) We say that $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ is a *subclass of bounded cohomology* if

$$\forall (i, n) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z} : \sharp\{d^i_M(n) \,|\, (R, M) \in \mathcal{C}\} < \infty.$$

•

Remark 3.4. (A) Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ be subclasses of $\mathcal{D}^d$. Then clearly

(a) If $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is of finite cohomology or of bounded cohomology, then so is $\mathcal{C}$ respectively.

(B) If $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have a bijection

$$\{d_M \,|\, (R, M) \in \mathcal{C}\} \twoheadrightarrow \{d_{M(r)} \,|\, (R, M) \in \mathcal{C}\} \text{ given by } d_M \mapsto d_{M(r)}.$$

•

Now, we show how the finiteness and boundedness conditions defined above are related.

Theorem 3.5. *For a subclass $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *$\mathcal{C}$ is a class of finite cohomology.*
(ii) *$\mathcal{C}$ is a class of bounded cohomology.*
(iii) *For each $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ the set $\triangle_{\mathcal{C},n_0} := \{d^i_M(n_0 - i) \mid (R, M) \in \mathcal{C},\ 0 \leq i < d\}$ is finite.*
(iv) *There is some $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the set $\triangle_{\mathcal{C},n_0}$ of statement (iii) is finite.*

Proof. The implications (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) are clear from the definitions. To prove the implication (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) fix $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and assume that the set $\triangle_{\mathcal{C},n_0}$ is finite. Then there is some non-negative integer h such that $d^i_{M(n_0)}(-i) \leq h$ for all pairs $(R, M) \in \mathcal{C}$ and all $i \in \{0, \cdots, d-1\}$. By [6, Theorem 5.4] it thus follows that the set of functions

$$\{d^i_{M(n_0)} \mid (R, M) \in \mathcal{C}, i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$$

is finite. By Remark 3.4 (B) we now may conclude that the class $\mathcal{C}$ is of finite cohomology. □

So, by Theorem 3.5 boundedness and finiteness of cohomology are the same for a given class $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$.

Definition 3.6. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ and let $\mathbb{S} \subseteq \{0, \cdots, d-1\} \times \mathbb{Z}$ be a subset. We say that the set $\mathbb{S}$ *bounds cohomology in* $\mathcal{C}$ if for each family $(h^\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}}$ of non negative integers h^σ the class

$$\{(R, M) \in \mathcal{C} \mid \ \forall (i, n) \in \mathbb{S} : d^i_M(n) \leq h^{(i,n)}\}$$

is of finite cohomology. •

Remark 3.7. (A) Let $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ and $\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{T} \subseteq \{0, \cdots, d-1\} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Then obviously we can say

If $\mathbb{S} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ and $\mathbb{S}$ bounds cohomology in $\mathcal{C}$, then so does $\mathbb{T}$.

(B) If $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can form the set $\mathbb{S}(r) := \{(i, n+r) \,|\, (i, n) \in \mathbb{S}\}$. In view of the bijection of Remark 3.4 (B) we have

$\mathbb{S}(r)$ bounds cohomology in $\mathcal{C}(r) := \{(R, M(r)) \mid \ (R, M) \in \mathcal{C}\}$ if and only if $\mathbb{S}$ does in $\mathcal{C}$.

(C) For all $s \in \{0, \cdots, d\}$ we set

$$\mathbb{S}^{<s} := \mathbb{S} \cap (\{0, \cdots, s-1) \times \mathbb{Z}).$$

as $\mathcal{D}^s \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ it follows easily:

If $\mathbb{S}$ bounds cohomology in $\mathcal{C}$, then $\mathbb{S}^{<s}$ bounds cohomology in $\mathcal{D}^s \cap \mathcal{C}$.

•

Corollary 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, the "n-th diagonal"*

$$\{(i, n-i) \,|\, i = 0, \cdots, d-1\}$$

bounds cohomology in $\mathcal{C}$.

Proof. This is immediate by Theorem 3.5. □

4. Quasi-Diagonals

Our first aim is to generalize Corollary 3.8 by showing that not only the diagonals bound cohomology on $\mathcal{C}$, but rather all "quasi-diagonals". We shall define below, what such a quasi-diagonal is.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $t \in \{1, \cdots, d\}$, let $(n_i)_{i=d-t}^{d-1}$ be a sequence of integers such that $n_{d-1} < \ldots < n_{d-t}$ and let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ be a class such that the set $\{d^i_M(n_i) \,|\, \ (R, M) \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is finite for all $i \in \{d-t, \cdots d-1\}$. Then the set $\{d^i_M(n) \,|\, \ (R, M) \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is finite whenever $n_i \leq n$ and $d-t \leq i \leq d-1$.*

Proof. By our hypothesis there is some $h \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $d^i_M(n_i) \leq h$ for all $i \in \{d-t, \cdots, d-1\}$ and all pairs $(R, M) \in \mathcal{C}$.

On use of standard reduction arguments we can restrict ourselves to the case where the Artinian base ring R_0 is local with infinite residue field. Let $(R, M) \in \mathcal{C}$. Replacing M by $M/\Gamma_{R_+}(M)$ we may assume that M is R_+-torsion free. Therefore, there exists $x \in R_1 \cap \mathrm{NZD}(M)$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow M(-1) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/xM \longrightarrow 0$ induces long exact sequences

$$(*_{i,m}) \qquad D^i_{R_+}(M)_{m-1} \to D^i_{R_+}(M)_m \to D^i_{R_+}(M/xM)_m \longrightarrow D^{i+1}_{R_+}(M)_{m-1}.$$

As $\dim(M/xM) < d$, the sequences $(*_{d-1,m})$ imply that $d^{d-1}_M(m) \leq d^{d-1}_M(m-1)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. This proves our claim if $t = 1$. So, let $t > 1$.

Assume inductively that the set $\{d^i_M(n_i) \,|\, (R, M) \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is finite whenever $n_i \leq n$ and $d-t+1 \leq i \leq d-1$. It remains to find a family of non-negative integers $(h_n)_{n \geq n_{d-t}}$ such that $d^{d-t}_M(n) \leq h_n$ for all $n \geq n_{d-t}$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ denote the class of all pairs $(R, M/xM) = (R, \overline{M})$ in which $(R, M) \in \mathcal{C}$ and $x \in R_1 \cap \mathrm{NZD}(M)$. As $n_i - 1 \geq n_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \{d-t, \cdots, d-2\}$, the sequences $(*_{i,n_i})$ show that

$$d^i_{M/xM}(n_i) \leq d^{i+1}_M(n_i - 1) + h \text{ for } i \in \{d-t, \cdots, d-2\}.$$

This means that the set $\{d^i_{\overline{M}}(n_i) \,|\, (R, \overline{M}) \in \mathcal{E}\}$ is finite whenever $(d-1)-(t-1) \leq i \leq d-2$. So, by induction the set $\{d^i_{\overline{M}}(n_i) \,|\, (R, \overline{M}) \in \mathcal{E}\}$ is finite whenever $n_i \leq n$ and $(d-1)-(t-1) \leq i \leq d-2$.

In particular there is a family of non-negative integers $(k_m)_{m \geq n_{d-t}}$ such that $d^{d-t}_{M/xM}(m) \leq k_m$ for all $m \geq n_{d-t}$. Now, for each $n \geq n_{d-t}$ set $h_n := h + \Sigma_{n_{d-t} < m \leq n} k_m$. If we choose $(R, M) \in \mathcal{C}$, the sequences $(*_{d-t,n})$ imply that $d^{d-t}_M(n) \leq h_n$ for all $n \geq n_{d-t}$. □

Proposition 4.2. *Let $(n_i)_{i=0}^{d-1}$ be a sequence of integers such that $n_{d-1} < \ldots < n_0$ and let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$. Then the set $\{(i, n_i) \,|\, i = 0, \cdots, d-1\}$ bounds cohomology in $\mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. Let $(h^i)_{i=0}^{d-1}$ be a family of non-negative integers and let $\mathcal{C}'$ be the class of all pairs $(R, M) \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $d^i_M(n_i) \leq h^i$ for $i = 0, \cdots, d-1$. Then, by Lemma 4.1 the set $\{d^i_M(n) \mid (R, M) \in \mathcal{C}'\}$ is finite, whenever $n \geq n_i$ and $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. Therefore the set $\triangle_{\mathcal{C}', n_0} := \{d^i_M(n_0 - i) \mid (R, M) \in \mathcal{C}',\ 0 \leq i < d\}$ is finite. So, by Theorem 3.5 the class $\mathcal{C}'$ is of finite cohomology. It follows that $\{(i, n_i) \,|\, i = 0, \cdots, d-1\}$ bounds cohomology in $\mathcal{C}$. □

Definition 4.3. A set $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \{0, 1, \cdots, d-1\} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is called a *quasi-diagonal* if there is a sequence of integers $(n_i)_{i=0}^{d-1}$ such that $n_{d-1} < n_{d-2} < \ldots < n_0$ and

$$\mathbb{T} = \{(i, n_i) \,|\, i = 0, \cdots, d-1\}.$$

•

Observe, that diagonals in $\{0,\cdots,d-1\}\times\mathbb{Z}$ are quasi-diagonals. So, the next result generalizes Corollary 3.8.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\mathbb{S}\subseteq\{0,1,\cdots,d\}\times\mathbb{Z}$ be a set which contains a quasi-diagonal. Then $\mathbb{S}$ bounds cohomology in each subclass $\mathcal{C}\subseteq\mathcal{D}^d$.*

Proof. Clear by Proposition 4.2. □

Our next goal is to show that the converse of Corollary 4.4 holds, namely: if a set $\mathbb{S}\subseteq\{0,1,\cdots,d-1\}\times\mathbb{Z}$ bounds cohomology in $\mathcal{D}^d$, then $\mathbb{S}$ contains a quasi-diagonal.

Reminder 4.5. Let K be a field, let $R=K\oplus R_1\oplus\cdots$ and $R'=K\oplus R'_1\oplus\cdots$ be two Noetherian homogeneous K-algebras. Let $R\boxtimes_K R':=K\oplus(R_1\otimes R'_1)\oplus(R_2\otimes R'_2)\oplus\cdots\subseteq R\otimes_K R'$ be the *Segre product ring of R and R'*, a Noetherian homogeneous K-algebra. For a graded R-module $M=\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}M_n$ and a graded R'-module $M'=\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}M'_n$ let $M\boxtimes_K M':=\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}M_n\otimes_K M'_n\subseteq M\otimes_K M'$ the Segre product module of M and M', a graded $R\boxtimes_K R'$-module. Keep in mind, that the *Künneth relations* (for Segre products) yield isomorphism of graded $R\boxtimes_K R'$-modules

$$D^i_{(R\boxtimes_K R')_+}(M\boxtimes_K M')\cong\bigoplus_{j=0}^{i}D^j_{R_+}(M)\boxtimes_K D^{i-j}_{R'_+}(M')$$

for all $i\in\mathbb{N}_0$ (cf. [23], [14], [20]). •

Lemma 4.6. *Let $d>1$ and set $R:=K[x_1,\cdots,x_d]$ be a polynomial ring over some infinite field K. Let $\mathbb{S}\subseteq\{0,1,\cdots,d-1\}\times\mathbb{Z}$ such that*

(1) *$\mathbb{S}$ contains no quasi-diagonal,*
(2) *$\mathbb{S}\cap(\{0,\cdots,d-2\}\times\mathbb{Z})$ contains a quasi-diagonal $\{(i,n_i)\mid i=0,\cdots,d-2\}$ and*
(3) *$\mathbb{S}\cap(\{d-1\}\times\mathbb{Z})\neq\emptyset$.*

Then

(a) *$(d-1,n)\notin\mathbb{S}$ for all $n\ll 0$,*
(b) *There is a family $(M_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of finitely generated graded R-modules, locally free of rank $\leq((d-1)!)^2$ on $\mathrm{Proj}(R)$ such that the set $\{d^i_{M_k}(n)\mid k\in\mathbb{N}\}$ is finite for all $(i,n)\in\mathbb{S}$ and*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}d^{d-1}_{M_k}(r)=\infty,\ \textit{where}\ \ r:=\inf\{n\in\mathbb{Z}\,\big|\,(d-1,n)\in\mathbb{S}\}-1.$$

Proof. For all $i\in\{1,\cdots,d\}$ we write $R^i:=K[x_1,\cdots,x_i]$ and $\mathbb{S}^i:=\mathbb{S}\cap(\{i\}\times\mathbb{Z})$. Statement (a) follows immediately from our hypotheses on the set $\mathbb{S}$. So, it remains to prove statement (b). After shifting appropriately we may assume that $r=-1$.

By our hypotheses on $\mathbb{S}$ it is clear that $\mathbb{S}^i\neq\emptyset$ for all $i\in\{0,\cdots,d-1\}$. Let

$$\alpha_i:=\sup\{n\in\mathbb{Z}\mid\ (i,n)\in\mathbb{S}^i\}\text{ for all }i\in\{0,\cdots,d-1\}.$$

Then by our hypothesis on $\mathbb{S}$ we have $\alpha_i < \infty$ for some $i \in \{1, \cdots, d-2\}$. Let

$$s := \min\{i \in \{0, \cdots, d-2\} \,\big|\, \alpha_i < \infty\}$$

and

$$n_s := \max\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \,\big|\, (s, n) \in \mathbb{S}^s\}.$$

Now, we may find a quasi-diagonal $\{(i, n_i) \,\big|\, i = 0, \cdots, d-2\}$ in $\mathbb{S} \cap (\{0, \cdots, d-2\} \times \mathbb{Z})$ such that for all $i \in \{s+1, \cdots, d-2\}$ we have

$$n_i = \max\{n < n_{i-1} \,\big|\, (i, n) \in \mathbb{S}\}.$$

As $\mathbb{S}$ contains no quasi-diagonal, we must have $n_{d-2} \leq 0$. For all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ we write $]m, n[:= \{t \in \mathbb{Z} \,\big|\, m < t < n\}$. Using this notation we set

$$t_{-1} := \infty;\ t_{d-s-1} := -\infty;\ t_i := \max\{d-s-i-2, n_{i+s}\},\ \forall i \in \{0, \cdots, d-s-2\}$$

and write

$$P := \bigcup_{i=0}^{d-s-1} (\{i\} \times]t_i, t_{i-1}[).$$

Observe, that by our choice of the pairs (i, n_i) we have

$$(*) \qquad \text{if } s \leq i \leq d-1 \text{ and } (i, n) \in \mathbb{S}, \text{ then } (i-s, n) \notin P.$$

Moreover by [5, 2.7] the set $P \subseteq \{0, \cdots, d-s-1\} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is a minimal combinatorial pattern of width $d-s-1$. So, by [5, Proposition 4.5], there exists a finitely generated R^{d-s}-module N, locally free of rank $\leq (d-s-1)!$ on $\mathrm{Proj}(R^{d-s})$ such that $\mathcal{P}_N = P$.

Now, consider the Segre product ring $S := R^{s+1} \boxtimes_K R^{d-s}$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let M_k be the finitely generated graded S-module $R^{s+1}(-k) \boxtimes_K N$, which is locally free of rank $\leq (d-1)!/s!$ on $\mathrm{Proj}(S)$. Observe that

$$d^j_{R^{s+1}} \equiv 0 \text{ for all } j \neq 0, s \text{ and } d^l_N \equiv 0 \text{ for all } l > d-s-1.$$

Now, we get from the Künneth relations (cf. Reminder 4.5) for all $i \in \{0, \cdots, d-1\}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$d^i_{M_k}(n) = \begin{cases} d^0_{R^{s+1}}(-k+n) d^i_N(n) & \text{for } 0 \leq i < s \\ d^0_{R^{s+1}}(-k+n) d^i_N(n) + d^s_{R^{s+1}}(-k+n) d^{i-s}_N(n) & \text{for } s \leq i \leq d-s-1, \\ d^s_{R^{s+1}}(-k+n) d^{i-s}_N(n) & \text{for } d-s-1 < i \leq d-1. \end{cases}$$

As $P = \mathcal{P}_N$ and in view of $(*)$ we have $d^{i-s}_N(n) = 0$ for all $(i, n) \in \mathbb{S}$ with $s \leq i \leq d-1$. Moreover, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $d^0_{R^{s+1}}(-k+n) \leq d^0_{R^{s+1}}(n-1)$. So for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $(i, n) \in \mathbb{S}$ we get

$$d^i_{M_k}(n) \begin{cases} \leq d^0_{R^{s+1}}(n-1) d^i_N(n), & \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq d-s-1, \\ = 0, & \text{if } d-s-1 < i \leq d-1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore the set $\{d^i_{M_k}(n) \mid\ k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is finite for all $(i, n) \in \mathcal{S}$.

Moreover $d^{d-1}_{M_k}(-1) = d^{s}_{R^{s+1}}(-k-1)d^{d-s-1}_{N}(-1)$. As $(d-s-1,-1) \in P$ we have $d^{d-s-1}_{N}(-1) > 0$ and hence $d^{s}_{R^{s+1}}(-k-1) = \binom{k}{s}$ implies that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} d^{d-1}_{M_k}(-1) = \infty.$$

As $\dim(S) = d$, there is a finite injective morphism $R \longrightarrow S$ of graded rings, which turns S in an R-module of rank $(d-1)!/s!(d-s-1)!$. So M_k becomes an R-module locally free of rank $\leq [(d-1)!/s!(d-s-1)!][(d-1)!/s!] \leq ((d-1)!)^2$ on $\mathrm{Proj}(R)$. Moreover, by Graded Base Ring Independence of Local Cohomology, we get isomorphisms of graded R-modules $D^j_{S_+}(M_k) \cong D^j_{R_+}(M_k)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Now, our claim follows easily. □

Definition 4.7. A class $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ is said to be *big*, if for each $t \in \{1, \cdots, d\}$ there is an infinite field K such that $\mathcal{D}$ contains all pairs (R, M) in which R is the polynomial ring $K[x_1, \cdots, x_t]$. •

Proposition 4.8. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ be a big class and let $\mathbb{S} \subseteq \{0, \cdots, d-1\} \times \mathbb{Z}$ be a set which bounds cohomology in $\mathcal{C}$. Then $\mathbb{S}$ contains a quasi-diagonal.*

Proof. There is an infinite field K such that with $R := K[x_1, \cdots, x_d]$ we have $(R, R(-k)) \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The set $\{d^i_{R(-k)}(n) \mid \ k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is finite for all $(i, n) \in \{0, \cdots, d-2\} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lim_{k\to\infty} d^{d-1}_{R(-k)}(0) = \infty$. It follows that $\mathbb{S}^{d-1} := \mathbb{S} \cap (\{d-1\} \times \mathbb{Z}) \neq \emptyset$. This proves our claim if $d = 1$.

So, let $d > 1$. Clearly $\mathcal{D}^{d-1} \cap \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^{d-1}$ is a big class and $\mathbb{S}^{<(d-1)} = \mathbb{S} \cap (\{0, \cdots, d-2\} \times \mathbb{Z})$ bounds cohomology in $\mathcal{D}^{d-1} \cap \mathcal{C}$ (s. Remark 3.7 (C)). So, by induction the set $\mathbb{S}^{<(d-1)}$ contains a quasi-diagonal. If $\mathbb{S}$ would contain no quasi-diagonal, Lemma 4.6 would imply that for our polynomial ring R there is a class $\mathcal{D}$ of pairs $(R, M) \in \mathcal{D}^d$ which is not of bounded cohomology but such that the set $\{d^i_M(n) \mid \ (R, M) \in \mathcal{D}\}$ is finite for all $(i, n) \in \mathbb{S}$. As $\mathcal{C}$ is a big class, we have $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, and this would imply the contradiction that $\mathbb{S}$ does not bound cohomology in $\mathcal{C}$. □

Theorem 4.9. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ be a big class and let $\mathbb{S} \subseteq \{0, \cdots, d-1\} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\mathbb{S}$ bounds cohomology in $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if $\mathbb{S}$ contains a quasi-diagonal.*

Proof. Clear by Corollary 4.4 and Proposition 4.8. □

Corollary 4.10. *The set $\mathbb{S} \subseteq \{0, \cdots, d-1\} \times \mathbb{Z}$ bounds cohomology in $\mathcal{D}^d$ if and only if $\mathbb{S}$ contains a quasi-diagonal.*

Proof. Clear by Theorem 4.9. □

5. Bounding Invariants

In this section we investigate numerical invariants which bound cohomology.

Definitions 5.1. (A) (s. [2], [8], [9]). Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ be a subclass. A *numerical invariant* on the class $\mathcal{C}$ is a map

$$\mu : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$$

such that for any two pairs $(R, M), (R, N) \in \mathcal{C}$ with $M \cong N$ we have $\mu(R, M) = \mu(R, N)$. We shall write $\mu(M)$ instead of $\mu(R, M)$.

(B) Let $(\mu_i)_{i=1}^r$ be a family of numerical invariants on the subclass $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$. We say that the family $(\mu_i)_{i=1}^r$ *bounds cohomology on the class* $\mathcal{C}$, if for each $(n_1, \cdots, n_r) \in (\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\pm\infty\})^r$ the class

$$\{(R, M) \in \mathcal{C} \,\big|\, \mu_i(M) = n_i \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \cdots, r\}\}$$

is of bounded cohomology.

(C) A numerical invariant μ on the class $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ is said to be *finite* if $\mu(M) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $(R, M) \in \mathcal{C}$.

(D) A numerical invariant μ on the class $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ is said to be *positive* if $\mu(M) \geq 0$ for all $(R, M) \in \mathcal{C}$. •

Remark 5.2. (A) If $\mu : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ is a numerical invariant on the class $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ and if $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, then the restriction $\mu \restriction_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ is a numerical invariant on the class $\mathcal{D}$. Clearly, if μ is finite (resp. positive) then so is $\mu \restriction_{\mathcal{D}}$.

(B) If $(\mu_i)_{i=1}^r$ bounds cohomology on the class $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ and if $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, then $(\mu_i \restriction_{\mathcal{D}})_{i=1}^r$ bounds cohomology in $\mathcal{D}$.

(C) A family $(\mu_i)_{i=1}^r$ of positive numerical invariants bounds cohomology in $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if for all $(n_1, \cdots, n_r) \in (\mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\})^r$ the class

$$\{(R, M) \in \mathcal{C} \,\big|\, \mu_i(M) \leq n_i \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \cdots, r\}\}$$

is of bounded cohomology.

(D) A family $(\mu_i)_{i=1}^r$ of finite positive invariants bounds cohomology on $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if the sum invariant $\sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{N}_0$ bounds cohomology in $\mathcal{C}$. •

Remark 5.3. Let $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, the map

$$d^i_\bullet(n) : \mathcal{D}^d \to \mathbb{N}_0; \;\; ((R, M) \mapsto d^i_M(n))$$

is a finite positive numerical invariant on $\mathcal{D}^d$. •

Theorem 5.4. *Let $(n_i)_{i=0}^{d-1}$ be a sequence of integers such that $n_0 > n_1 > n_2 > \ldots > n_{d-1}$. Then the family of numerical invariants $(d^i_\bullet(n_i))_{i=0}^{d-1}$ bounds cohomology in $\mathcal{D}^d$.*

Proof. Clear by Proposition 4.2. □

Reminder 5.5. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we may define the numerical invariant

$$\mathrm{reg}^k : \mathcal{D}^d \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\};\ ((R, M) \mapsto \mathrm{reg}^k(M)).$$

•

Notation 5.6. For $(R, M) \in \mathcal{D}^d$ we set

$$\varrho(M) := \begin{cases} d_M^0(\mathrm{reg}^2(M)), & \text{if } \dim(M) > 1, \\ d_M^0(0), & \text{if } \dim(M) \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

•

Remark 5.7. (A) If $(R, M) \in \mathcal{D}^d$ with $\dim(M) \leq 1$, the cohomological Hilbert function d_M^0 of M is constant, and this constant is strictly positive if and only if $M \neq 0$.

(B) The function

$$\varrho : \mathcal{D}^d \to \mathbb{N}_0;\ ((R, M) \mapsto \varrho(M))$$

is a finite positive numerical invariant on $\mathcal{D}^d$. •

Theorem 5.8. *The pair of invariants* $(\mathrm{reg}^2, \varrho)$ *bounds cohomology in* $\mathcal{D}^d$*.*

Proof. Fix $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ and set

$$\mathcal{C} := \{(R, M) \in \mathcal{D}^d \,\big|\, \mathrm{reg}^2(M) = u, \varrho(M) = v\}.$$

If $(R, M) \in \mathcal{C}$ we have $d_M^0(u) = d_M^0(\mathrm{reg}^2(M)) = v$.

Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $u - i = \mathrm{reg}^2(M) - i > a_{i+1}(M)$ and hence $d_M^i(u - i) = h_M^{i+1}(u - i) = 0$. Therefore (R, M) belongs to the class

$$\mathcal{D} := \{(R, M) \in \mathcal{D}^d \,\big|\, d_M^0(u) = v \text{ and } d_M^i(u - i) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \cdots, d - 1\}\}.$$

But according to Theorem 5.4 the class $\mathcal{D}$ is of bounded cohomology. □

Lemma 5.9. *Let* $(R, M) \in \mathcal{D}^d$ *be such that* $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) \neq 1$ *for all* $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(M)$*. Then*

$$d_M^0(n - 1) \leq \max\{0, d_M^0(n) - 1\} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. For an arbitrary finitely generated graded R-module N let

$$\lambda(N) := \inf\{\mathrm{depth}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \mathrm{height}((\mathfrak{p} + R_+)/\mathfrak{p}) \mid \ \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R) \setminus \mathrm{Var}(R_+)\}.$$

Clearly, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\lambda(N(n)) = \lambda(N)$. So, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get by our hypotheses that $\lambda(M(n)) = \lambda(M) > 1$. Now, according to [8, Proposition 4.6] we obtain

$$d_M^0(n - 1) = d_{M(n)}^0(-1) \leq \max\{0, d_{M(n)}^0(0) - 1\} = \max\{0, d_M^0(n) - 1\}.$$

□

Theorem 5.10. *Let* $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and let* $p \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ *be a polynomial. Let* $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ *be the class of all pairs* $(R, M) \in \mathcal{D}^d$ *satisfying the following conditions:*

(α) *There is a finitely generated graded R-module N with Hilbert polynomial $p_N = p$ and* $\mathrm{reg}^2(N) \leq r$ *such that* $M \subseteq N$.

(β) $\mathrm{reg}^2(M) \leq s$.

Then, $\mathcal{C}$ is a class of finite cohomology.

Proof. Let $v := \max\{r, s\}$. We first show that for each pair $(R, M) \in \mathcal{C}$ we have

$$(*) \qquad \varrho(M) \leq p(v)$$

and

$$(**) \qquad \dim(M) \leq 1 \text{ or } \mathrm{reg}^2(M) \geq -v - p(v).$$

So, let $(R, M) \in \mathcal{C}$. Then, there is a monomorphism of finitely generated graded R-modules $M \overset{\epsilon}{\rightarrowtail} N$ such that $p_N = p$ and $\mathrm{reg}^2(N) \leq r \leq v$.

Assume first that $\dim(M) > 1$. As $\mathrm{reg}^2(M) \leq v$ we then get

$$\varrho(M) = d^0_M(\mathrm{reg}^2(M)) \leq d^0_M(v) \leq d^0_N(v) = p_N(v) = p(v).$$

If $\dim(M) \leq 1$, the function d^0_M is constant and therefore

$$\varrho(M) = d^0_M(0) = d^0_M(v) \leq d^0_N(v) = p_N(v) = p(v).$$

Thus we have proved statement $(*)$.

To prove statement $(**)$ we assume that $\dim(M) > 1$. Then there is a short exact sequence of finitely generated graded R- modules

$$0 \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \overline{M} \longrightarrow 0$$

such that $\dim(H) \leq 1$ and $\mathrm{Ass}_R(\overline{M})$ does not contain any prime $\mathfrak{p}$ with $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) \leq 1$. As $\dim(H) \leq 1$, we have $H^i_{R_+}(H) = 0$ for all $i > 1$. Therefore $H^i_{R_+}(M) \cong H^i_{R_+}(\overline{M})$ for all $i > 1$ and hence $\mathrm{reg}^2(M) = \mathrm{reg}^2(\overline{M})$. Moreover by the observation made on $\mathrm{Ass}_R(\overline{M})$, we have (s. Lemma 5.9)

$$d^0_{\overline{M}}(n-1) \leq \max\{0, d^0_{\overline{M}}(n) - 1\} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

As $D^1_{R_+}(H) = H^2_{R_+}(H) = 0$, we have

$$d^0_{\overline{M}}(v) \leq d^0_M(v) \leq d^0_N(v) = p_N(v) = p(v)$$

and it follows that

$$d^0_{\overline{M}}(n) = 0 \text{ for all } n \leq -v - p(v) - 1.$$

One consequence of this is, that $T := D^0_{R_+}(\overline{M})$ is a finitely generated R-module. As $H^i_{R_+}(M) \cong H^i_{R_+}(\overline{M})$ for all $i > 1$, we have $\mathrm{reg}^2(T) = \mathrm{reg}^2(\overline{M}) = \mathrm{reg}^2(M)$. As $H^i_{R_+}(T) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$, we thus get $\mathrm{reg}^2(M) = \mathrm{reg}(T)$. As $T_n = 0$ for all $n \leq -v - p(v) - 1$, we finally obtain (s. Reminder 2.2(E))

$$\mathrm{reg}^2(M) = \mathrm{reg}(T) \geq \mathrm{gendeg}(T) \geq \mathrm{beg}(T) \geq -v - p(v).$$

This proves statement $(**)$.

Now, we may write

$$\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{-\infty} \cup \bigcup_{t=-v-p(v)}^{s} \mathcal{C}_t,$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_{-\infty} := \{(R, M) \in \mathcal{D}^d \,\big|\, \dim(M) \leq 1 \text{ and } \varrho(M) \leq p(v)\}$$

and, for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $-v - p(v) \leq t \leq s$,

$$\mathcal{C}_t := \{(R, M) \in \mathcal{D}^d \,\big|\, \operatorname{reg}^2(M) = t,\ \varrho(M) \leq p(v)\}.$$

The class $\mathcal{C}_{-\infty}$ clearly is of bounded cohomology.

Now, by Remark 5.2(C) and by Corollary 5.8, each of the classes $\mathcal{C}_t$ is of bounded cohomology. This proves our claim. □

Corollary 5.11. *Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $p \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ be a polynomial. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^d$ be the class of all pairs $(R, M) \in \mathcal{D}^d$ satisfying the condition (α) of Theorem 5.10. Then, the invariant* reg^2 *bounds cohomology in the class $\mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. This is immediate by Theorem 5.10. □

Corollary 5.12. *Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $(R, N) \in \mathcal{D}^d$. If M runs through all graded submodules $M \subseteq N$ with* $\operatorname{reg}^2(M) \leq r$, *only finitely many cohomology tables d_M and hence only finitely many Hilbert polynomials p_M occur.*

Proof. This is clear by Theorem 5.10. □

Corollary 5.13. *Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $(R, N) \in \mathcal{D}^d$. If M runs through all graded submodules of N with* $\operatorname{reg}^1(M) \leq r$ *only finitely many families*

$$(h^i_M(n))_{(i,n)\in\mathbb{N}_0\times\mathbb{Z}} \quad \textit{and} \quad (h^i_{N/M}(n))_{(i,n)\in\mathbb{N}_0\times\mathbb{Z}}$$

can occur.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of all graded submodules $M \subseteq N$ with $\operatorname{reg}^1(M) \leq r$.

Now, for each $M \in \mathcal{P}$ we have the following three relations

$$d^i_M(n) = h^{i+1}_M(n) \text{ for all } i \geq 1 \text{ and all } n \in \mathbb{Z};$$

$$\begin{cases} h^1_M(n) \leq d^0_M(n) & \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}; \\ h^1_M(n) = d^0_M(n) & \text{for all } n < \operatorname{beg}(N); \\ h^1_M(n) = 0 & \text{for all } n \geq r \end{cases}$$

and

$$h^0_M(n) \leq h^0_N(n) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

So, by Corollary 5.12 the set

$$\mathcal{U} := \{(h^i_M(n))_{(i,n)\in\mathbb{N}_0\times\mathbb{Z}} \,|\, \ M \in \mathcal{P}\}$$

is finite.

For each $M \in \mathcal{P}$ the short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow N/M \longrightarrow 0$ yields that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$h^0_{N/M}(n) \leq h^0_N(n) + h^1_M(n), \tag{1}$$

$$d^i_{N/M}(n) \leq d^i_N(n) + h^{i+2}_M(n). \tag{2}$$

By the finiteness of $\mathcal{U}$ it follows that the set of functions

$$\mathcal{U}_0 := \{(h^0_{N/M}(n))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mid \ M \in \mathcal{P}\}$$

is finite and that the set of cohomology diagonals

$$\mathcal{W} := \{(d^i_{N/M}(-i))^{d-1}_{i=0} \mid \ M \in \mathcal{P}\}$$

is finite.

In view of Theorem [6, Theorem 5.4] the finiteness of $\mathcal{W}$ implies that the set

$$\mathcal{U}_1 := \{(d^i_{N/M}(n))_{(i,n)\in\mathbb{N}_0\times\mathbb{Z}} \mid \ M \in \mathcal{P}\}$$

is finite. Moreover for all $M \in \mathcal{P}$ we have

$$\mathrm{end}(H^1_{R_+}(N/M)) < \mathrm{reg}^1(N/M) \leq \max\{\mathrm{reg}^2(M) - 1, \mathrm{reg}^2(N)\} \leq \max\{r - 1, \mathrm{reg}^1(N)\};$$

$$h^1_{N/M}(n) \leq d^0_{N/M}(n) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ with equality if } n < \mathrm{beg}(N).$$

As $d^i_{N/M} \equiv h^{i+1}_{N/M}$ for all $i > 0$ the finiteness of $\mathcal{U}_0$ and $\mathcal{U}_1$ shows that the set

$$\{(h^i_{N/M}(n))_{(i,n)\in\mathbb{N}_0\times\mathbb{Z}} \mid \ M \in \mathcal{P}\}$$

is finite, too. □

Corollary 5.14. *Assume that R is a homogeneous Noetherian Cohen-Macaulay ring with Artinian local base ring R_0. Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let N be a finitely generated graded R-module. If M runs trough all graded submodules of N with* $\mathrm{gendeg}(M) \leq s$ *only finitely many families*

$$(h^i_M(n))_{(i,n)\in\mathbb{N}_0\times\mathbb{Z}} \quad \text{and} \quad (h^i_{N/M}(n))_{(i,n)\in\mathbb{N}_0\times\mathbb{Z}}$$

may occur.

Proof. By [4, Proposition 6.1] we see that $\mathrm{reg}(M)$ finds an upper bound in terms of $\mathrm{gendeg}(M)$, $\mathrm{reg}(N)$, $\mathrm{reg}(R)$, $\mathrm{beg}(N)$, $\dim(R)$, the multiplicity $e_0(R)$ of R and the minimal number of homogeneous generators of the R-module N. Now, we conclude by Corollary 5.13. □

Remark 5.15. If we apply Corollary 5.13 in the special case where $N = R = K[x_1, \cdots, x_r]$ is a polynomial ring over a field, we get back the finiteness result [17, Corollary 14]. Correspondingly, if we apply Corollary 5.14 in this special case, we get back [17, Corollary 20].

Acknowledgment. The third author would like to thank the Institute of Mathematics of University of Zürich for financial support and hospitality during the preparation of this paper.

Bibliography

[1] D. Bayer and D. Mumford, *What can be computed in algebraic geometry?* in "Computational Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algera" Proc. Cortona 1991 (D.Eisenbud and L. Robbiano, Eds.) Cambridge University Press (1993) 1-48.

[2] M. Brodmann, *Cohomological invariants of coherent sheaves over projective schemes - a survey*, in "Local Cohomology and its Applications" (G. Lyubeznik, Ed), 91 - 120, M. Dekker Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics **226** (2001).

[3] M. Brodmann, *Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity and degrees of generators of graded submodules*, Illinois J. Math. **47**, no. 3 (Fall 2003).

[4] M. Brodmann and T. Götsch, *Bounds for the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity*, to appear in Journal of Commutative Algebra.

[5] M. Brodmann, M. Hellus, *Cohomological patterns of coherent sheaves over projective schemes*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **172** (2002), 165-182.

[6] M. Brodmann, M. Jahangiri and C. H. Linh, *Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of deficiency modules*, Preprint 2009.

[7] M. Brodmann, F. A. Lashgari, *A diagonal bound for cohomological postulation numbers of projective schemes*, J. Algebra **265** (2003), 631-650.

[8] M. Brodmann, C. Matteotti and N. D. Minh, *Bounds for cohomological Hibert functions of projective schemes over Artinian rings*, Vietnam Journal of Mathematics **28**(4)(2000), 345-384.

[9] M. Brodmann, C. Matteotti and N. D. Minh, *Bounds for cohomological deficiency functions of projective schemes over Artinian rings*, Vietnam Journal of Mathematics **31**(1)(2003), 71-113.

[10] M. Brodmann and R.Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge University Press (1998).

[11] G. Caviglia, *Bounds on the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of tensor products*, Proc. AMS. **135** (2007) 1949- 1957.

[12] G. Caviglia and E. Sbarra, *Characteristic free bounds for the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity*, Compos. Math. **141** (2005) 1365- 1373.

[13] M. Chardin, A.L. Fall and U. Nagel, *Bounds for the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of modules*, Math. Z. **258** (2008) 69-80.

[14] S. Fumasoli, *Die Künnethrelation in abelschen Kategorien und ihre Anwendung auf die Idealtransformation*, Diplomarbeit, Universität Zürich, 1999.

[15] A. Grothendieck, *Séminare de géometrie algébrique IV*, Springer Lecture Notes 225, Springer (1971).

[16] L.T. Hoa, *Finiteness of Hilbert functions and bounds for the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of initial ideals*, Trans. AMS. **360** (2008) 4519- 4540.

[17] L. T. Hoa and E. Hyry *Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of canonical and deficiency modules*, J. Algebra **305** (2006) no.2, 877-900.

[18] J. Kleiman , *Towards a numerical theory of ampleness*, Annals of Math. **84** (1966) 293- 344.

[19] C. H. Linh, *Upper bounds for Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of associated graded modules*, Comm. Algebra, **33**(6) (2005), 1817-1831.

[20] S. Mac Lane, *Homology*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 114, Springer, Berlin (1963).

[21] D. Mumford, *Lectures on curves on an algebraic surface*, Annals of Math. Studies **59**, Princeton University Press (1966).

[22] M.E. Rossi, N.V. Trung and G. Valla, *Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity and extended degree*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), no. 5, 1773-1786.
[23] J. Stükrad and W. Vogel, *Buchsbaum Rings and Applications*, Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin (1986).

Markus Brodmann, University of Zürich, Institute of Mathematics, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zürich.

E-mail address: `brodmann@math.uzh.ch`

Maryam Jahangiri, School of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Damghan University of Basic Sciences, Damghan, Iran.

E-mail address: `jahangiri@dubs.ac.ir`

Cao Huy Linh, Department of Mathematics, College of Education, Hue University, 32 Le Loi, Hue City, Vietnam.

E-mail address: `huylinh2002@yahoo.com`