ON A FLOW OF TRANSFORMATIONS OF A WIENER SPACE

J. NAJNUDEL, D. STROOCK, M. YOR

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we define, via Fourier transform, an ergodic flow of transformations of a Wiener space which preserves the law of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process and which interpolates the iterations of a transformation previously defined by Jeulin and Yor. Then, we give a more explicit expression for this flow, and we construct from it a continuous gaussian process indexed by \mathbb{R}^2 , such that all its restriction obtained by fixing the first coordinate are Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes.

1. INTRODUCTION

An abstract Wiener space is a triple (H, E, W) consisting of a separable, real Hilbert space H, a separable real Banach space E in which H is continuously embedded as a dense subspace, and a Borel probability measure W on E with the property that, for each $x^* \in E^*$, the W-distribution of the map $x \in E \longmapsto \langle x, x^* \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$, from E to \mathbb{R} , is a centered gaussian random distribution with variance $||h_{x^*}||_H^2$, where h_{x^*} is the element of H determined by $(h, h_{x^*})_H = \langle h, x^* \rangle$ for all $h \in H$. See Chapter 8 of [5] for more information on this topic.

Because $\{h_{x^*}: x^* \in E^*\}$ is dense in H and $\|h_{x^*}\|_H = \|\langle \cdot, x^* \rangle\|_{L^2(\mathcal{W})}$, there is a unique isometry, known as the Paley–Wiener map, $\mathcal{I}: H \mapsto L^2(\mathcal{W})$ such that $\mathcal{I}(h) = \langle \cdot, x^* \rangle$ if $h = h_{x^*}$. In fact, for each $h \in H$, $\mathcal{I}(h)$ under \mathcal{W} is a centered Gaussian variable with variance $\|h\|_H^2$. Because, when $h = h_{x^*}$, $\mathcal{I}(h)$ provides an extention of $(\cdot, h)_H$ to E, for intuitive purposes one can think of $x \rightsquigarrow [\mathcal{I}(h)](x)$ as a giving meaning to the inner product $x \rightsquigarrow (x, h)_H$, although for general h this will be defined only up to a set of \mathcal{W} -measure 0.

An important property of abstract Wiener spaces is that they are invariant under orthogonal transformations on H. To be precise, given an orthogonal transformation \mathcal{O} on H, there is a \mathcal{W} -almost surely unique $T_{\mathcal{O}}: E \longrightarrow E$ with the property that, for each $h \in H$, $\mathcal{I}(h) \circ T_{\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{O}^{\top}h)$ \mathcal{W} -almost surely. Notice that this is the relation which one would predict if one thinks of $[\mathcal{I}(h)](x)$ as the inner product of x with h. In general, $T_{\mathcal{O}}$ can be constructed by choosing $\{x_m^*: m \ge 1\} \subseteq E^*$ so the $\{h_{x_m^*}: m \ge 1\}$ is an orthonormal basis in H and then taking

$$T_{\mathcal{O}}x = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \langle x, x_m^* \rangle \mathcal{O}h_{x_m^*},$$

where the series converges in E for \mathcal{W} -almost every x as well as in $L^p(\mathcal{W}; E)$ for every $p \in [1, \infty)$. See Theorem 8.3.14 in [5] for details. In the case when \mathcal{O} admits an extension as a continuous map on E into itself, $T_{\mathcal{O}}$ can be the taken equal to that extension. In any case, it is an easy matter to check that the measure \mathcal{W} is preserved by $T_{\mathcal{O}}$. Less obvious is a theorem, originally formulated by I.M. Segal (cf. [6]), which says that $T_{\mathcal{O}}$ is ergodic if and only \mathcal{O} admits no non-trivial, finite dimensional, invariant subspace. Equivalently, $T_{\mathcal{O}}$

Date: January 25, 2011.

is ergodic if and only if the complexification \mathcal{O}_{c} has a continuous spectrum as a unitary operator on the complexification H_{c} of H.

The classical Wiener space provides a rich source of examples to which the preceding applies. Namely, take $H = H_0^1$ to be the space of absolutely continuous $h \in \Theta$ whose derivative \dot{h} is in $L^2([0,\infty))$, and set $\|h\|_{H_0^1} = \|\dot{h}\|_{L^2([0,\infty))}$. Then H_0^1 with norm $\|\cdot\|_{H_0^1}$ is a separable Hilbert space. Next, take $E = \Theta$, where Θ is the space of continuous paths $\theta: [0,\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\theta(0) = 0$ and

$$\frac{|\theta(t)|}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}\log(e+|\log t|)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t > 0 \text{ tends to } 0 \text{ or } \infty,$$

and set

$$\|\theta\|_{\Theta} = \sup_{t>0} \frac{|\theta(t)|}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}\log(e + |\log t|)}$$

Then Θ with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\Theta}$ is a separable Banach space in which H_0^1 is continuously embedded as a dense subspace. Finally, the renowned theorem of Wiener combined with the Brownian law of the iterated logarithm says that there is a Borel probability measure $\mathcal{W}_{H_0^1}$ on Θ for which $(H_0^1, \Theta, \mathcal{W}_{H_0^1})$ is an abstract Wiener space. Indeed, it is the classical Wiener space on which the abstraction is modeled, and $\mathcal{W}_{H_0^1}$ is the distribution of an \mathbb{R} -valued Brownian motion.

One of the simplest examples of an orthogonal transformation on H_0^1 for which the associated transformation on Θ is ergodic is the Brownian scaling map S_α given by $S_\alpha\theta(t) = \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}\theta(\alpha t)$ for $\alpha > 0$. It is an easy matter to check that the restriction \mathcal{O}_α of S_α to H_0^1 is orthogonal, and so, since S_α is continuous on Θ , we can take $T_{\mathcal{O}_\alpha} = S_\alpha$. Furthermore, as long as $\alpha \neq 1$, an elementary computation shows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} (g, \mathcal{O}_\alpha^n h)_H = 0$, first for smooth $g, h \in H_0^1$ with compact support in $(0, \infty)$ and thence for all $g, h \in H_0^1$. Hence, when $\alpha \neq 1$, \mathcal{O}_α admits no non-trivial, finite dimensional subspace, and therefore S_α is ergodic; and so, by the Birkoff's Individual Ergodic Theorem, for $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $f \in L^p(\mathcal{W}_{H_0^1})$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} f \circ S_{\alpha}^{n} = \int f \, d\mathcal{W}_{H_{0}^{1}}$$

both $\mathcal{W}_{H_0^1}$ -almost surely and in $L^p(\mathcal{W}_{H_0^1})$. Moreover, since $\{S_\alpha : \alpha \in (0,\infty)\}$ is a multiplicative semigroup in the sense that $S_{\alpha\beta} = S_\alpha \circ S_\beta$, one has the continuous parameter version

$$\lim_{a \to \infty} \frac{1}{\log a} \int_{1}^{a} (f \circ S_{\alpha}) \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} = \int f \, d\mathcal{W}_{H_{0}^{1}}$$

of the preceding result.

A more challenging ergodic transformation of the classical Wiener space was studied by Jeulin and Yor (see [1], [2] and [4]), and, in the framework of this article, it is obtained by considering the transformation \mathcal{O} on H_0^1 , defined by

$$[\mathcal{O}h](t) = h(t) - \int_0^t \frac{h(s)}{s} \, ds.$$
(1.1)

An elementary calculation shows that \mathcal{O} is orthogonal. Moreover, \mathcal{O} admits a continuous extension to Θ given by replacing $h \in H_0^1$ in (1.1) by $\theta \in \Theta$. That is

$$[T_{\mathcal{O}}\theta] = \theta(t) - \int_0^t \frac{\theta(s)}{s} \, ds \quad \text{for } \theta \in \Theta \text{ and } t \ge 0.$$
(1.2)

In addition, one can check that $\lim_{n\to\infty} (g, \mathcal{O}^n h)_{H^1_0} = 0$ for all $g, h \in H^1_0$, which proves that $T_{\mathcal{O}}$ is ergodic for $\mathcal{W}_{H^1_0}$.

In order to study the transformation $T_{\mathcal{O}}$ in greater detail, it will be convenient to reformulate it in terms of the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process. That is, take H^U to be the space of absolutely continuous functions $h : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|h\|_{H^U} \equiv \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{4}h(t)^2 + \dot{h}(t)^2\right) dt} < \infty.$$

Then H^U becomes a separable Hilbert space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^U}$. Moreover, the map $F: H_0^1 \longrightarrow H^U$ given by

$$[F(g)](t) = e^{-\frac{t}{2}}g(e^t), \quad \text{for } g \in H_0^1 \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R},$$
(1.3)

is an isometric surjection which extends as an isometry from Θ onto Banach space \mathcal{U} of continuous $\omega :\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\lim_{|t|\to\infty} \frac{|\omega(t)|}{\log |t|} = 0$ with norm $\|\omega\|_{\mathcal{U}} = \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} (\log(e+|t|))^{-1} |\omega(t)|$. Thus, $(H^U, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}_{H^U})$ is an abstract Wiener space, where $\mathcal{W}_{H^U} = F_* \mathcal{W}_{H_0^1}$ is the image of $\mathcal{W}_{H_0^1}$ under the map F. In fact, \mathcal{W}_{H^U} is the distribution of a standard, reversible Ornstein– Uhlenbeck process.

Note that the scaling transformations for the classical Wiener space become translations in the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck setting. Namely, for each $\alpha > 0$, $F \circ S_{\alpha} = \tau_{\log \alpha} \circ F$, where τ_s denotes the time-translation map given by $[\tau_s \omega](t) = \omega(s+t)$. Thus, for $s \neq 0$, the results proved about the scaling maps say that τ_s is an ergodic transformation for \mathcal{W}_{H^U} . In particular, for $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $f \in L^p(\mathcal{W}_{H^U})$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} f \circ \tau_{ns} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f \circ \tau_s \, ds = \int f \, d\mathcal{W}_{H^U}$$

both \mathcal{W}_{H^U} -almost surely and in $L^p(\mathcal{W}_{H^U})$.

The main goal of this article is to show that the reformulation of transformation $T_{\mathcal{O}}$ coming from the Jeulin–Yor transformation in terms of the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process allows us to embed $T_{\mathcal{O}}$ in a continuous-time flow of transformations on the space \mathcal{U} , each of which is $\mathcal{W}_{H_0^1}$ -measure preserving and all but one of which is ergodic. In Section 2, this flow is described via Fourier transforms. In Section 3, a direct and more explicit expression, involving hypergeometric functions and principal values, is computed. In Section 4, we study the two-parameter gaussian process which is induced by the flow introduced in Section 2. In particular, we compute its covariance and prove that it admits a version which is jointly continuous in its parameters.

2. Preliminary description of the flow

Let \mathcal{O} and $T_{\mathcal{O}}$ be the transformations on H_0^1 and Θ given by (1.1) and (1.2), and recall the unitary map $F: H_0^1 \longrightarrow H^U$ in (1.3) and its continuous extension as an isometry from Θ onto \mathcal{U} . Clearly, the inverse of F is given by

$$F^{-1}(\omega)(t) = \sqrt{t}\,\omega(\log t) \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

Because F is unitary and \mathcal{O} is orthogonal on H_0^1 , $-F \circ \mathcal{O} \circ F^{-1}$ is an orthogonal transformation on H^U , and because

$$S := -F \circ T_{\mathcal{O}} \circ F^{-1}$$

is continuous extension of $-F \circ \mathcal{O} \circ F^{-1}$ to \mathcal{U} , we can identify S as $T_{-F \circ \mathcal{O} \circ F^{-1}}$.

Another expression for action of S is

$$[S(\omega)](t) = -\omega(t) + \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{s}{2}}\omega(t-s) \, ds \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Equivalently,

$$S(\omega) = \omega * \mu,$$

where μ is the finite, signed measure μ given by

$$\mu := -\delta_0 + e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \mathbb{1}_{t \ge 0} dt.$$

To confirm that $\omega * \mu$ is well-defined as a Lebesgue integral and that it maps \mathcal{U} continuously into itself, note that, for any $\omega \in \mathcal{U}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{split} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{s}{2}} |\omega(t-s)| \, ds &\leq \|\omega\|_{\mathcal{U}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{s}{2}} \log(e+|t|+s) \, ds \\ &\leq \|\omega\|_{\mathcal{U}} \log(e+|t|) \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{s}{2}} (1+s) \, ds \leq 9 \|\omega\|_{\mathcal{U}} \log(e+|t|) \end{split}$$

The Fourier transform $\hat{\mu}$ of μ is given by

$$\widehat{\mu}(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\lambda x} d\mu(x) = -1 + \int_0^\infty e^{-x(1/2+i\lambda)} dx = -1 + \frac{1}{1/2+i\lambda} = \frac{1-2i\lambda}{1+2i\lambda} = e^{-2i\operatorname{Arctg}(2\lambda)}.$$

Hence, for all $h \in H^U$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\widehat{h*\mu}(\lambda) = e^{-2i\operatorname{Arctg}(2\lambda)}\widehat{h}(\lambda), \qquad (2.1)$$

which, since

$$||h||_{H^U}^2 = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{h}(\lambda)|^2 (1+4\lambda^2) d\lambda,$$

provides another proof that $S \upharpoonright H^U$ is isometric.

The preceeding, and especially (2.1), suggests a natural way to embed $S \upharpoonright H^U$ into a continuous group of orthogonal transformations. Namely, for $u \in \mathbb{R}$, let μ^{*u} to be the unique tempered distribution whose Fourier transform is given by

$$\widehat{\mu^{*u}}(\lambda) = e^{-2iu\operatorname{Arctg}(2\lambda)},\tag{2.2}$$

and define $\mathcal{S}^{u}\varphi = \varphi * \mu^{*u}$ for φ in the Schwartz test function class \mathscr{S} of smooth functions which, together with all their derivatives, are rapidly decreasing. Because

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}^{u}\varphi}(\lambda) = e^{-2iu\operatorname{Arctg}(2\lambda)}\hat{\varphi}(\lambda),$$

it is obvious that S^u has a unique extension as an orthogonal transformation on H^U , which we will again denote by S^u . Furthermore, it is clear that $S^{u+v} = S^u \circ S^v$ for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$. Finally, for all $g, h \in H^U$, $u \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(g, \mathcal{S}^{u}h)_{H^{U}} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\widehat{g}(\lambda)} \,\widehat{h}(\lambda) \, e^{-2iu\operatorname{Arctg}(2\lambda)} (1+4\lambda^{2}) \, d\lambda$$
$$= \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \overline{\widehat{g}\left(\frac{\tan(\tau)}{2}\right)} \,\widehat{h}\left(\frac{\tan(\tau)}{2}\right) \, \left(1+\tan^{2}(\tau)\right)^{2} e^{-2iu\tau} \, d\tau,$$

where

$$\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \left| \widehat{g}\left(\frac{\tan(\tau)}{2}\right) \right| \left| \widehat{h}\left(\frac{\tan(\tau)}{2}\right) \right| \left(1 + \tan^2(\tau)\right)^2 d\tau = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{g}(\lambda)| \left| \widehat{h}(\lambda) \right| (1 + 4\lambda^2) d\lambda$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{g}(\lambda)|^2 (1 + 4\lambda^2) d\lambda \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{h}(\lambda)|^2 (1 + 4\lambda^2) d\lambda \right)^{1/2} = ||g||_{H^U} ||h||_{H^U} < \infty.$$
Hence, by Biemann, Lebergue lemme, shows that (a. Sub) stands to zero when |u| sees to the set of the terms of the terms.

Hence, by Riemann–Lebesgue lemma, shows that $(g, \mathcal{S}^u h)_{H^U}$ tends to zero when |u| goes to infinity.

Now define the associated transformations $S^u := T_{S^u}$ on \mathcal{U} for each $u \in \mathbb{R}$. By the general theory summarized in the introduction and the preceding discussion, we know that $\{S^u : u \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a flow of \mathcal{W}_{H^U} -measure preserving transformations and that, for each $u \neq 0$, S^u is ergodic.

3. A more explicit expression

So far we know very little about the transformations S^u for general $u \in \mathbb{R}$. By getting a handle on the tempered distributions μ^{*u} , in this section we will attempt to find out a little more.

We begin with the case when u is an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Recalling that $\mu = -\delta_0 + e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \mathbb{1}_{t \ge 0} dt$, one can use induction to check that, for $n \ge 0$,

$$\mu^{*n} = (-1)^n \left(\delta_0 + e^{-\frac{t}{2}} L'_n(t) \mathbb{1}_{t \ge 0} dt \right),$$

where L_n is the *n*th Laguerre polynomial. Indeed, the Laguerre polynomials satisfy the following relations: for all $n \ge 0$,

$$L_n(0) = 1$$

and for all $n \geq 0, t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$L'_{n+1}(t) = L'_n(t) - L_n(t).$$

Similarly, starting from $\mu^{*-1} = -\delta_0 + e^{\frac{t}{2}} \mathbb{1}_{t \ge 0} dt$, one finds that

$$\mu^{*n} = (-1)^n \left(\delta_0 + e^{\frac{t}{2}} L'_n(-t) \mathbb{1}_{t \le 0} dt \right)$$

for $n \leq 0$. In particular, μ^{*n} is a finite, signed measure for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $S^n \omega$ can be identified as $\mu^{*n} * \omega$ for all $\omega \in \mathcal{U}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

As the next result shows, when $u \notin \mathbb{Z}$, μ^{*u} is more singular tempered distribution than a finite, signed measure.

Proposition 3.1. For each $u \notin \mathbb{Z}$, the distribution μ^{*u} is given by the following formula:

$$\mu^{*u} = \cos(\pi u)\delta_0(x) + \frac{\sin(\pi u)}{5}pv(1/x) + \Phi_u(x), \qquad (3.1)$$

where pv denotes the principal value, and $\Phi_u \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is the function for which $\Phi_u(x)$ equals

$$e^{-|x|/2} \left(-\frac{u\,\sin(\pi u)}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-u\,\mathrm{sgn}(x))_k |x|^k}{k!(k+1)!} \left[\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} (1+k-u\,\mathrm{sgn}(x)) - \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} (1+k) - \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} (2+k) + \log(|x|) \right] + \frac{\sin(\pi u)}{\pi x} \right) - \frac{\sin\pi u}{\pi x},$$

 Γ'/Γ being the logarithmic derivative of the Euler gamma function and $()_k$ being the Pochhammer symbol.

Proof. Define the functions ψ_u and θ_u from $\mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ to \mathbb{R} so that $\theta_u(x) = e^{-\frac{x}{2}} \psi_u(x)$ and $\psi_u(x)$ equals

$$-\frac{u\,\sin(\pi u)}{\pi}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(1-u\,\mathrm{sgn}(x))_k|x|^k}{k!(k+1)!}\left[\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(1+k-u\,\mathrm{sgn}(x))-\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(1+k)-\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(1+k)-\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(2+k)+\log(|x|)\right]+\frac{\sin(\pi u)}{\pi x}.$$

From Lebedev [3], p. 264, equation (9.10.6), with the parameters $\alpha = 1 - u$ or $\alpha = 1 + u$, n = 1, z = x or z = -x, the function ψ_u satisfies, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^*$, the differential equation:

$$x\psi_u''(x) + (2 - |x|)\psi_u'(x) + (u - \operatorname{sgn}(x))\psi_u(x) = 0,$$

and grows at most polynomially at infinity. One then deduces that θ_u decreases as least exponentially at infinity, and satisfies (for $x \neq 0$) the following equation:

$$x\theta_u''(x) + 2\theta_u'(x) + \left(u - \frac{x}{4}\right)\theta_u(x) = 0.$$
(3.2)

At the same time, by writing

$$e^{-|x|/2} = (e^{-|x|/2} - 1) + 1$$

and expanding $\theta_u(x)$ accordingly, we obtain:

$$\theta_u(x) = \frac{\sin(\pi u)}{\pi x} - \frac{u\sin(\pi u)}{\pi} \left[\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} (1 - u\operatorname{sgn}(x)) - \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} (1) - \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} (2) + \log(|x|) \right] - \frac{\sin(\pi u)}{2\pi} \operatorname{sgn}(x) + \eta_u(x),$$

for

$$\eta_u(x) = x\eta_u^{(1)}(x) + |x|\eta_u^{(2)}(x) + x\log(|x|)\eta_u^{(3)}(x) + |x|\log(|x|)\eta_u^{(4)}(x),$$

where $\eta_u^{(1)}$, $\eta_u^{(2)}$, $\eta_u^{(3)}$, $\eta_u^{(4)}$ are all smooth functions. The derivatives of the functions x, |x|, $x \log |x|, |x| \log |x|$ in the sense of the distributions are obtained by interpreting their ordinary derivatives as distributions. Similarly, the product by x of their second distributional derivatives are obtained by multiplying their ordinary second derivatives by x. Hence, both $\eta'_u(x)$ and $x\eta''_u(x)$ as distributions can be obtained by computing $\eta'_u(x)$ and $x\eta''_u(x)$ as functions on \mathbb{R}^* .

Now, let ν_u be the distribution given by the expression:

$$\nu_u(x) = \cos(\pi u)\delta_0(x) + \frac{\sin(\pi u)}{\pi} pv(1/x) + \left[\theta_u(x) - \frac{\sin(\pi u)}{\pi x}\right].$$
 (3.3)

Note that the term in brackets, in the definition of ν_u , is a locally integrable function, and that ν_u coincides with the function θ_u in the complement of the neighborhood of zero. Let us now prove that ν_u satisfies the analog of the equation (3.2), in the sense of the distributions. One has:

$$\nu_u(x) = \cos(\pi u)\delta_0(x) + \frac{\sin(\pi u)}{\pi}pv(1/x) - \frac{u\sin(\pi u)}{\pi} \left[\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(1-u\operatorname{sgn}(x)) - \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(1) - \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(2) + \log(|x|)\right] - \frac{\sin(\pi u)}{2\pi}\operatorname{sgn}(x) + \eta_u(x).$$

Since

$$\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(1+u) - \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(1-u) = \frac{\frac{d}{du}\left(\Gamma(1+u)\Gamma(1-u)\right)}{\Gamma(1+u)\Gamma(1-u)} = \frac{\frac{d}{du}(\pi u/\sin(\pi u))}{\pi u/\sin(\pi u)} = \frac{1}{u} - \pi \cot(\pi u),$$

one obtains, after straightforward computation,

$$\nu_u(x) = \cos(\pi)\delta_0(x) + \frac{\sin(\pi u)}{\pi}pv(1/x) - \frac{u\cos(\pi u)}{2}\operatorname{sgn}(x) - \frac{u\sin(\pi u)}{\pi}\log(|x|) + c(u) + \eta_u(x),$$

where $c(u)$ does not depend on x . One deduces that

$$\nu_u(x) = \cos(\pi u)\delta_0(x) + \frac{\sin(\pi u)}{\pi}pv(1/x) + \chi_{u,1}(x),$$

where $\chi_{u,1}$ denotes a locally integrable function. Moreover,

$$\nu'_u(x) = \cos(\pi u)\delta'_0(x) - \frac{\sin(\pi u)}{\pi}fp(1/x^2) - u\cos(\pi u)\delta_0(x) - \frac{u\sin(\pi u)}{\pi}pv(1/x) + \eta'_u(x),$$

where $fp(1/x^2)$ denotes the finite part of $1/x^2$, and then

$$x\nu'_{u}(x) = -\cos(\pi u)\delta_{0}(x) - \frac{\sin(\pi u)}{\pi}pv(1/x) - \frac{u\sin(\pi u)}{\pi} + x\eta'_{u}(x).$$

By differentiating again, one obtains:

$$\nu'_u(x) + x\nu''_u(x) = -\cos(\pi u)\delta'_0(x) + \frac{\sin(\pi u)}{\pi}fp(1/x^2) + \eta'_u(x) + x\eta''_u(x)$$

Therefore,

$$x\nu_{u}''(x) + 2\nu_{u}'(x) + \left(u - \frac{x}{4}\right)\nu_{u}(x) = \chi_{u,2}(x) + \left(-\cos(\pi u)\delta_{0}'(x) + \frac{\sin(\pi u)}{\pi}fp(1/x^{2})\right) + \left(\cos(\pi u)\delta_{0}'(x) - \frac{\sin(\pi u)}{\pi}fp(1/x^{2}) - u\cos(\pi u)\delta_{0}(x) - \frac{u\sin(\pi u)}{\pi}pv(1/x)\right) + u\left(\cos(\pi u)\delta_{0}(x) + \frac{\sin(\pi u)}{\pi}pv(1/x)\right) = \chi_{u,2}(x),$$

where $\chi_{u,2}$ is a locally integrable function. Since θ_u satisfies (3.2), $\chi_{u,2}$ is identically zero. Hence, ν_u is a tempered distribution solving the differential equation:

$$x\nu_{u}''(x) + 2\nu_{u}'(x) + \left(u - \frac{x}{4}\right)\nu_{u}(x) = 0,$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{x}{4}\nu_u(x) - \frac{d^2}{d^2x}(x\nu_u(x)) - u\nu_u(x) = 0.$$

Multiplying by -4i and taking the Fourier transform (in the sense of the distributions), one deduces:

$$\widehat{\nu_u}'(\lambda)(1+4\lambda^2) = -4iu\widehat{\nu_u}(\lambda).$$

This linear equation admits a unique solution, up to a multiplicative factor c:

$$\widehat{\nu_u}(\lambda) = c \exp\left(\int_0^\lambda \frac{-4iu}{1+4t^2} dt\right) = c \exp(-2iu \operatorname{Arctg}(2\lambda)).$$

Hence, ν_u is proportional to μ^{*u} . In order to determine the constant c, let us observe that the distribution $\nu_{u,0}$ given by

$$\nu_{u,0}(x) = \nu_u(x) - c\cos(\pi u)\delta_0(x) - \frac{c\sin(\pi u)}{\pi}pv(1/x)$$

admits the Fourier transform:

$$\widehat{\nu_{u,0}}(\lambda) = c \, e^{-2iu \operatorname{Arctg}(2\lambda)} - c \, e^{-\pi i u \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda)}.$$

One deduces that $\widehat{\nu_{u,0}}$ is a function in L^2 , which implies that $\nu_{u,0}$ is also a function in L^2 , and then locally integrable. Since the last term in (3.3) is also a locally integrable function, one deduces that c = 1, and then

 $\mu^{*u} = \nu_u,$

which proves Proposition 3.1.

The reasonably explicit expression for μ^{*u} found in Proposition 3.1 yields a reaonably explicit expression for the action of S^u . Indeed, only the term pv(1/x) is a source of concern. However, convolution with respect of pv(1/x) is, apart from a multiplicative constant, just the Hilbert transform, whose properties are well-known. In particular, it is a translation invariant, bounded map on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and as such it is also a bounded map on H^U . Thus, we can unambiguously write $S^u(h) = h * \mu^{*u}$ for all $h \in H^U$. On the other hand, the interpretation of $\omega * \mu^{*u}$ for $\omega \in \mathcal{U}$ needs some thought. No doubt, $\omega * \mu^{*u}$ is well-defined as an element of \mathscr{S}' , the space tempered distributions, but it is not immediately obvious that it is can be represented by an element of \mathcal{U} or, if it can, that the element of \mathcal{U} which represents it can be identified as $S^u \omega$. In fact, the best that we should expect is that such statements will be true of \mathcal{W}_{HU} -almost every $\omega \in \mathcal{U}$. The following result justifies that expectation.

Proposition 3.2. For $\mathcal{W}_{H^{U}}$ -almost every $\omega \in \mathcal{U}$, the tempered distribution $\omega * \mu^{*u}$ is represented by an element of \mathcal{U} which can be can be identified as $S^{u}\omega$.

Proof. Recall that, for $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}$, $\varphi * \mu^{*-u}$ is the element of \mathscr{S} whose Fourier transform is given by

$$\widehat{\varphi * \mu^{*-u}}(\lambda) = \widehat{\varphi}(\lambda) e^{2iu\operatorname{Arctg}(2\lambda)} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Also, if $T \in \mathscr{S}'$, then $T * \mu^{*u}$ is the tempered distribution whose action on $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}$ is given by

$$\mathscr{G}\langle\varphi, T*\mu^{*u}\rangle_{\mathscr{S}'} = \mathscr{G}\langle\varphi*\mu^{*-u}, T\rangle_{\mathscr{S}'}.$$

Now choose an orthonormal basis $\{h_n : n \ge 1\}$ for H^U all of whose members are elements of \mathscr{S} , and, for each $n \ge 1$, set $g_n = \frac{1}{4}h_n + h''_n$. Next, think of g_n as the element of \mathcal{U}^* whose action on $\omega \in \mathcal{U}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{U}\langle\omega,g_n\rangle_{\mathcal{U}^*} = \mathscr{I}\langle g_n,\omega\rangle_{\mathscr{I}'}.$$

It is then an easy matter to check that, in the notation of the introduction, $h_n = h_{g_n}$. Hence, if B is the subset of $\omega \in \mathcal{U}$ for which

$$\omega = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \mathscr{S}\langle g_n, \omega \rangle_{\mathscr{S}'} h_n \quad \text{and} \quad S^u \omega = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \mathscr{S}\langle g_n, \omega \rangle_{\mathscr{S}'} h_n * \mu^{*u},$$

where the convergence is in \mathcal{U} , then $\mathcal{W}_{H^U}(B) = 1$.

Now let $\omega \in B$. Then, for each $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}$,

$$\mathscr{G}\langle\varphi,\omega*\mu^{*u}\rangle_{\mathscr{S}'} = \mathscr{G}\langle\varphi*\mu^{*-u},\omega\rangle_{\mathscr{S}'} = \lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{m=1}^{n}\mathscr{G}\langle g_n,\omega\rangle_{\mathscr{S}'\mathscr{G}}\langle\varphi,h_n*\mu^{*u}\rangle_{\mathscr{S}'}$$
$$= \lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{m=1}^{n}\mathscr{G}\langle g_n,\omega\rangle_{\mathscr{G}'\mathscr{G}}\langle\varphi,\mathcal{S}^uh_n\rangle_{\mathscr{G}'} = \mathscr{G}\langle\varphi,S^u\omega\rangle_{\mathscr{G}'}.$$

Thus, for $\omega \in B$, $\omega * \mu^{*u} \in \mathscr{S}'$ is represented by $S^u \omega \in \mathcal{U}$.

4. A two parameter gaussian process

By construction, $\{S^u \omega(t) : (u, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$ is a gaussian family in $L^2(\mathcal{W}_{H^U})$. In this concluding section, we will show that this family admits a modification which is jointly continuous in (u, t).

Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathscr{S}$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be given. Then, by Proposition 3.2, for \mathcal{W}_{H^U} -almost every $\omega \in \mathcal{U}$,

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi(s)\psi(t)(S^u(\omega))(s)(S^v(\omega))(t) \, dsdt = \mathscr{I}\langle \varphi, \omega * \mu^{*u} \rangle_{\mathscr{I}'\mathscr{I}} \langle \psi, \omega * \mu^{*v} \rangle_{\mathscr{I}'},$$

where the integral in the left-hand side is absolutely convergent. Because $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}_{H^U}}[S^u \omega(t)^2]$ is finite and independent of $(u, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, by taking the expectation with respect to \mathcal{W}_{H^U} and using (2.2), one can pass from this to

$$\begin{split} &\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi(s)\psi(t) \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}_{HU}} \left[(S^u(\omega))(s)(S^v(\omega))(t) \right] ds dt = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}_{HU}} \left[\mathscr{D}\langle\varphi, \omega * \mu^{*u}\rangle_{\mathscr{P}'\mathscr{D}}\langle\psi, \omega * \mu^{*v}\rangle_{\mathscr{P}'} \right] \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2i(u-v)\operatorname{Arctg}(2\lambda)}}{1+4\lambda^2} \,\widehat{\varphi}(\lambda) \,\overline{\widehat{\psi}(\lambda)} d\lambda = \frac{2}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int \frac{e^{i[(t-s)\lambda + 2(u-v)\operatorname{Arctg}(2\lambda)]}}{1+4\lambda^2} \,\varphi(s)\psi(t) \, ds dt d\lambda. \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}_{H^U}}[S^u(\omega))(s)(S^v(\omega))(t)] = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i[(t-s)\lambda + 2(u-v)\operatorname{Arctg}(2\lambda)]}}{1+4\lambda^2} d\lambda,$$
(4.1)

first for almost every and then, by continuity, for all $(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. In particular, we now know that the \mathcal{W}_{H^U} -distribution of $\{S^u(\omega)\}(t) : (u,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$ is stationary.

To show that there is a continuous version of this process, we will use Kolmogorov's continuity criterion, which, because it is stationary and gaussian, comes down to showing that

$$\left|1 - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}_{H^{U}}}[(S^{u}(\omega))(s)(S^{v}(\omega))(t)]\right| \le C \left|(u,s) - (v,t)\right|^{\alpha}$$

for some $C < \infty$ and $\alpha > 0$. But

$$\begin{split} \left|1 - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}_{HU}}[(S^{u}(\omega))(s)(S^{v}(\omega))(t)]\right| &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{1+4\lambda^{2}} \left|e^{i[(t-s)\lambda+2(u-v)\operatorname{Arctg}(2\lambda)]} - 1\right| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{1+4\lambda^{2}} \left|e^{i(t-s)\lambda} - 1\right| + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{1+4\lambda^{2}} \left|e^{2i(u-v)\operatorname{Arctg}(2\lambda)} - 1\right| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{1+4\lambda^{2}} (|t-s||\lambda|\wedge 2) + \frac{4}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{1+4\lambda^{2}} |(u-v)\operatorname{Arctg}(2\lambda)|, \end{split}$$

and, after simple estimation, this shows that

$$|1 - \mathbb{E}[(S^{u}(\omega))(s)(S^{v}(\omega))(t)]| \le C\left[|u - v| + |t - s|\left(1 + \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{(t - s)^{2}}\right)\right)\right],$$

where $C < \infty$. Clearly, the desired conclusion follows.

Remark 4.1. A question about filtrations comes naturally when one considers the group of transformations $(S^u)_{u\in\mathbb{R}}$ on the space \mathcal{U} . Indeed, for all $t, u \in \mathbb{R}$, let \mathcal{F}_t^u be the σ -algebra generated by the \mathcal{W}_{H^U} -negligible subsets of \mathcal{U} of and the variables $(S^u(\omega))(s)$, for $s \in (-\infty, t]$ (these variables are well-defined up to a negligible set). From the results of Jeulin and Yor. one quite easily deduces the following properties of the filtrations of the form $(\mathcal{F}_t^u)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ for $u \in \mathbb{R}$:

- For all t, u ∈ ℝ, F^u_t is generated by F^{u+1}_t and (S^u(ω))(t).
 For all t, u ∈ ℝ, F^{u+1}_t and (S^u(ω))(t) are independent under W_{H^U}.
- For all $t, u \in \mathbb{R}$, the decreasing intersection of \mathcal{F}_t^{u+n} for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is trivial (i.e. it satisfies the zero-one law).
- If $u \in \mathbb{R}$ is fixed, the σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{F}_t^{u+n} for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ does not depend on $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

All these statements concern the sequence of filtrations $(\mathcal{F}^{u+n})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ for fixed $u\in\mathbb{R}$. A natural question arises: how can these results be extended to the continuous family of filtrations $(\mathcal{F}^u)_{u\in\mathbb{R}}$? Unfortunately, for the moment, we have no answer to this question (in particular the family does not seem to be decreasing with u).

References

- [1] T. Jeulin, M. Yor, Filtration des ponts browniens et équations différentielles stochastiques linéaires, Séminaire de Probabilités, XXIV, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1426, Springer-Verlag, 1990, pp. 227– 265.
- [2] P.-A. Meyer, Sur une transformation du mouvement brownien due à Jeulin et Yor, Séminaire de Probabilités, XXVIII, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1583, Springer-Verlag, 1994, pp. 98–101.
- [3] N.-N. Lebedev, Special functions and their applications (Translated from Russian), Dover, New York, 1972.
- [4] M. Yor, Some aspects of Brownian motion. Part I: Some Special Functionals, Lectures in Mathematics, Ed. ETH Zürich, Birkhaüser, 1992.
- [5] D. Stroock, Probability Theory, an Analytic View, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [6] D. Stroock, Some thoughts about Segal's ergodic theorem, Colloq. Math. vol. 118 #1, pp. 89-105, 2010.